

CRITICAL AREAS CHECKLIST

A Technical Assistance Tool From Growth Management Services – updated February 2018

Name of city or county: City of Kenmore

Staff contact, phone, and e-mail address: Lauri Anderson, Principal Planner, 425.398.8900, landerson@kenmorewa.gov

UPDATED 6.25.19

Contents

INSTRUCTIONS

This checklist is intended to help local governments update their development regulations, as required by RCW 36.70A.130(4) (updated in 2012). We strongly encourage but do not require jurisdictions to complete the checklist and return it to Growth Management Services (GMS), along with their updates. This checklist may be used by all jurisdictions, including those local governments planning for resource lands and critical areas only. For general information on update requirements, refer to Keeping your Comprehensive Plan and Development Regulations Current: A Guide to the Periodic Update Process under the Growth Management Act, August, 2016 and WAC 365-196-610 (updated in 2015)

Bold items are a GMA requirement or may be related requirements of other state or federal laws.

Commerce WAC provisions are advisory under Commerce's statutory mandate to provide technical assistance, RCW 43.330.120 which states that the Department of Commerce "...shall help local officials interpret and implement the different requirements of the act through workshops, model ordinances, and information materials." Bold and underlined items are links to Internet sites and may include best practices or other ideas to consider. If you have questions, call GMS at (360) 725-3066.

Updates to Commerce WAC – Revisions to the Commerce WAC relating to critical areas have been provided in a table with dates of changes on the <u>Growth Management Act</u> <u>Periodic Update web site</u>. The table can be used with this checklist to determine what changes have been made since the last update of your critical areas regulations.

How to fill out the checklist

Using the current version of your critical areas regulations, fill out each item in the checklist. Select the check box or type in text fields, answering the following question:

Is this item addressed in your current Critical Areas Ordinance (CAO)? If YES, fill in the form with citation(s) to where in the plan or code the item is addressed. We recommend using citations rather than page numbers because they stay the same regardless of how the document is printed. If you have questions about the requirement, follow the hyperlinks to the relevant statutory provision or rules. If you still have questions, visit the Commerce Growth Management Services Web page or contact one of the Commerce planners assigned to your region.

Instructions1
Overall Requirements2
Wetlands3
Critical Aquifer Recharge Areas4
Frequently Flooded Areas5
Geologically Hazardous Areas6
Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas7
Anadromous Fisheries8
Reason Use Exceptions8
Forest Practices Regulations8
Stormwater Drainage and Water Quality9
Regulations for Protecting Waters of the State9
Good Ideas10

CRITICAL AREAS

Regulations protecting critical areas are required by **RCW 36.70A.060(2)** and **RCW 36.70A.172(1)** and <u>WAC 365-195-900</u> through 925 provide guidelines. Guidance can also be found in Commerce's <u>Critical Areas Assistance Handbook</u> (January, 2007, <u>currently being updated</u>); the Minimum Guidelines <u>WAC 365-190-080 – 130</u>; Best Available Science, <u>Chapter 365-195 WAC</u>; and Procedural Criteria, <u>WAC 365-196-485</u> and <u>WAC 365-196-830</u>, and on Growth Management's Critical Areas and Best Available Science webpage.

Regulations required to protect critical areas

OVERALL REQUIREMENTS

The CAO includes best available science to clearly designate and protect all critical areas that might be found within the jurisdiction.

1. Designation of Critical Areas

RCW 36.70A.170(1)(d) required all counties and cities to designate critical areas. **RCW 36.70A.170(2)** requires that counties and cities consider the Commerce Minimum Guidelines pursuant to RCW 36.70A.050.

RCW 36.70A.050 directed Commerce to adopt the Minimum Guidelines to classify critical areas. <u>WAC 365-190-080</u> through 130 (updated in 2010) provide guidance on defining or "designating" each of the five critical areas.

<u>WAC 365-190-040</u> (updated in 2010) outlines the process to classify and designate natural resource lands and critical areas.

2. Definition of Critical Areas

RCW 36.70A.030 provides definitions for each type of critical area. Sections (5) regarding fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas; (9) regarding geologically hazardous areas; and (21) regarding wetlands were updated in 2010.

WAC 365-190-030 (updated 2010) provides definitions in the Minimum Guidelines.

3. Protection of Critical Areas

RCW 36.70A.060 (2) required counties and cities to adopt development regulations that protect the critical areas required to be designated under RCW 36.70A.170.

RCW 36.70A.172(1) requires the inclusion of best available science in developing policies and development regulations to protect the functions and values of critical areas. In addition, counties and cities must give special consideration to conservation or protection measures necessary to preserve or enhance anadromous fisheries.

4. Inclusion of Best Available Science

RCW 36.70A.172(1) requires inclusion of the best available science (BAS).

<u>Chapter 365-195 WAC</u> outlines recommended criteria for determining which information is the BAS, for obtaining the BAS, for including BAS in policies and

regulations, for addressing inadequate scientific information, and for demonstrating "special consideration" to conservation or protection measures necessary to preserve or enhance anadromous fisheries.

Addressed in current plan or regulations? If yes, note where

Was inclusion of BAS documented in the record for the review and any updates to the critical areas regulations?

□ No

Location in Text:

The City's last major update of its critical areas regulations in 2006 relied on ESA's (then dba Adolfson Associates) Wetlands, Streams, and Geologically Hazardous Areas - Best Available Science Technical Memorandum (draft dated December 8, 2003 and final draft dated March 24, 2004). In the City's 2012 update of its Shoreline Master Program, the 2006 critical areas regulations were largely incorporated by reference, and at that time were determined by Washington Department of Ecology to be consistent with best available science. This update amended the regulations as needed to maintain compliance with state law. Edits to the regulations that are science-based are noted in the gap analysis accompanying this update.

Additional revisions were made to the wetland regulations after extensive review and discussion with Ecology.

Regulations required to protect critical areas	regulations? If yes, note where
WAC 365-195-915 provides criteria for including BAS in the record.	A specific BAS Evaluation for the Public Agency or Utility Exception was prepared to address amendments to 18.55.160.
WETLANDS DEFINITION The definition of wetlands is consistent with RCW 36.70A.030(21) (updated in 2012).	Is the wetland definition consistent with RCW 36.70A.030(23)?
	✓ Yes☐ No☐ N/A
	Location in Text:
	The City's definition is in 18.20.3280.
WETLANDS DELINEATION	Are wetlands delineated using
Wetlands are delineated using the 1987 Federal Wetland Delineation Manual and Regional Supplements in accordance with WAC 173-22-035 (updated in 2011).	the 1987 Federal Wetland Delineation Manual and Regional Supplements?
(4644664 111 2011)	⊠ Yes
See Ecology's <u>Wetland Delineation</u> page and <u>WAC 365-190-090</u> (updated in 2010) for additional assistance.	□ No □ N/A
	Location in Text: KMC 18.55.300.A referenced the State manual. That reference has been corrected as part of this update.
WETLANDS PROTECTION	Do the regulations use a rating
Policies and regulations protect the functions and values of wetlands. RCW 36.70A.172	system to determine wetlands protection?
(1) Counties and cities are encouraged to make their actions consistent with the intent and goals of "protection of wetlands", Executive Order 89-10 as it existed on September 1, 1990.	✓ Yes☐ No☐ N/A
WAC 365-190-090(3) recommends using a wetlands rating system that	Location in Text
evaluates the existing wetland functions and values to determine what functions must be protected. Ecology updated its recommended wetlands	KMC 18.55.300.B contained the City's locally developed wetland
rating systems effective January 2015. For information on the rating system,	rating system. This update
see:	included a switch to Ecology's
2014 Updates to the Washington State Wetland Rating Systems Washington State Wetland Pating System for Western Washington	2014 wetland rating system.
 Washington State Wetland Rating System for Western Washington Washington State Wetland Rating System for Eastern Washington 	
vasimiston state wedana nating system for Eastern washington	
For other resources and guidance on protecting wetlands, go to Ecology's	
Local wetland regulations: Growth Management Act technical assistance.	

Regulations required to protect critical areas	Addressed in current plan or regulations? If yes, note where
CRITICAL AQUIFER RECHARGE AREAS	If groundwater is used for
Policies and regulations protect the functions and values of critical aquifer recharge areas. RCW 36.70A.172(1). Policies and regulations protect the quality and quantity of groundwater used for public water supplies. RCW 36.70A.070(1) and WAC 365-196-485(1)(d). (Required if groundwater is used for potable water.)	potable water, do regulations protect the quality and quantity of ground water? Yes No
The following references also relate to protection of groundwater resources:	⊠ N/A
RCW 90.44 – Regulation of Public Groundwaters RCW 90.48 – Water Pollution Control (1971) RCW 90.54 – Water Resources Act of 1971 RCW 36.36.020 - Creation of aquifer protection area (1988) WAC 365-190-100 Critical Aquifer Recharge Areas (2010) WAC 173-100 Groundwater Management Areas and Programs (1988) WAC 173-200 Water Quality Standards for Groundwaters of the State of Washington (1990) WAC 365-196-735 Consideration of state and regional planning provisions (list) (2010)	Location in text: The City does not contain any critical aquifer recharge areas as defined in the RCW and WAC. However, the City has elected to develop a new set of regulations that provide it with tools to address proposals that could have adverse effects on groundwater in the City. See KMC 18.55.800 to810.
 The <u>Critical Aquifer Recharge Areas Guidance Document</u> (2005) provides information on protecting functions and values of critical aquifer recharge areas, best available science, how to work with state and local regulations and adaptive management. Also, consider the following: Prohibiting or strictly regulating hazardous uses in critical aquifer recharge areas (CARAs) and designating and protecting wellhead areas. See Ecology's guidance on <u>Critical Aquifer Recharge Areas</u>. Limiting impervious surfaces to reduce stormwater runoff, as required under Phase I and II municipal stormwater permits. Ecology's Stormwater Manual for Western Washington (updated in 2012) includes low impact development (LID) related definitions, requirements, and an LID performance standard. See Stormwater Management and Design Manuals on Ecology's web page. 	Are the critical aquifer recharge regulations consistent with current mapping of these critical areas? Yes No N/A Location in text: See new section KMC 18.55.800 to810 and a map of areas susceptible to groundwater contamination.
 See Stormwater Drainage and Water Quality on page 7 of this checklist for additional LID resources. 	
Regulations protect the functions and values of frequently flooded areas and safeguard the public from hazards to health and safety. RCW 36.70A.172(1) WAC 365-196-830 provides:" "Protection" in this context means preservation of the functions and values of the natural environment, or to safeguard the public from hazards to health and safety." WAC 365-190-110 (updated in 2010) directs counties and cities to consider the	Are frequently flooded areas designated and regulated using FEMA and Ecology guidance? Yes No N/A

Regulations required to protect critical areas

following when designating and classifying frequently flooded areas:

- (a) Effects of flooding on human health and safety, and to public facilities and services;
- (b) Available documentation including federal, state, and local laws, regulations, and programs, local studies and maps, and federal flood insurance programs, including the provisions for urban growth areas in RCW 36.70A.110;
- (c) The future flow flood plain, defined as the channel of the stream and that portion of the adjoining flood plain that is necessary to contain and discharge the base flood flow at build out;
- (d) The potential effects of tsunami, high tides with strong winds, sea level rise, and extreme weather events, including those potentially resulting from global climate change;
- (e) Greater surface runoff caused by increasing impervious surfaces.

Classification of and regulations for frequently flooded areas should not conflict with the <u>Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)</u> requirements for the National Flood Insurance Program. See <u>Ecology's Floods</u> & <u>Floodplain Planning</u>, **86.16 RCW**, <u>173-158 WAC</u>, and **44 CFR 60**.

Communities that are located on Puget Sound or the Strait of San Juan de Fuca, or have lakes, rivers or streams that directly or indirectly drain to those water bodies, are subject to the National Flood Insurance Program Biological Opinion (BiOp) for Puget Sound (https://www.fema.gov/media-library/assets/dasuments/20031). The biological opinion required shapes to

<u>library/assets/documents/30021</u>). The biological opinion required changes to the implementation of the National Flood Insurance Program in order to meet the requirements of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) in the Puget Sound watershed. FEMA Region X has developed an implementation plan that allows communities to apply the performance standards contained in the Biological Opinion by implementing: 1) a model ordinance

(https://www.fema.gov/media-library/assets/documents/85339); 2) a programmatic Checklist (https://www.fema.gov/media-library/assets/documents/85336); or 3) on a permit by permit basis (https://www.fema.gov/media-library/assets/documents/85343) as long as it can be demonstrated that there is no adverse effect to listed species. Communities have the option of utilizing their CAOs as part of a programmatic response to address the requirements of the biological opinion. FEMA must approve a community's biological opinion compliance strategy.

Additional resources:

RCW 86.12 Flood Control by Counties

RCW 86.16 Floodplain Management

RCW 86.26 State Participation in Flood Control Maintenance

RCW 86.16.041 Floodplain Management Ordinance and Amendments

WAC 173-158-070 Requirements for construction in Special Flood Hazard Areas

Addressed in current plan or regulations? If yes, note where

Location in Text:

KMC 16.90 and 18.55.700-750 have been consolidated and updated in coordination with FEMA and Ecology into new KMC 18.55.700 to -.795.

Are you utilizing your CAO as part of a programmatic response to the BiOp?

\boxtimes	Yes
	No
	N/A

Location in Text:

The City's mechanism for responding to the BiOp is not clear in the existing code, but was being implemented by staff through "Door 3." This update provides a clear linkage in KMC 18.55.760 with a requirement for a habitat assessment.

Regulations required to protect critical areas	Addressed in current plan or regulations? If yes, note where
DEFINITION OF GEOLOGICALLY HAZARDOUS AREAS The definition of geologically hazardous areas is consistent with RCW 36.70A.030(9) (updated 2012). "Geologically hazardous areas" means areas that because of their susceptibility to erosion, sliding, earthquake, or other geological events, are not suited to the siting of commercial, residential, or industrial development consistent with public health or safety concerns.	Is the geologically hazardous areas definition consistent with RCW 36.70A.030(10)? Yes No N/A Location in Text: KMC 18.20.105 contains a definition that is consistent, but not identical, to the RCW.
PROTECTION OF GEOLOGICALLY HAZARDOUS AREAS	Are uses in geologically
Regulations protect the functions and values of frequently flooded areas and safeguard the public from hazards to health and safety. RCW 36.70A.172(1) WAC 365-196-830 (2010) provides:" "Protection" in this context means preservation of the functions and values of the natural environment, or to safeguard the public from hazards to health and safety."	hazardous areas designated and regulated or limited consistent with public health and safety?
Geologically hazardous areas are designated, and their use is regulated or limited consistent with public health and safety concerns. RCW 36.70A.030(9) provides a definition (updated in 2012) and WAC 365-190-120 describes the different types of hazardous areas (2010):	Location in Text: KMC 18.55.640 and650.
 Geologically hazardous areas include: seismic hazards tsunami hazards landslide hazards, areas prone to erosion hazards volcanic hazards channel migration zones areas subject to differential settlement from coal mines or other subterranean voids. 	
 Critical facilities, such as hospitals and emergency response centers, hazardous materials storage, etc. should be restricted in hazard zones. 	
The Department of Natural Resource's <u>Geologic Hazards and the</u> <u>Environment</u> website includes information on earthquakes and faults, landslides, volcanoes and lahars, tsunamis, hazardous minerals, emergency preparedness and includes <u>geologic hazard</u> <u>maps</u> .	
DEFINITION OF FISH AND WILDLIFE HABITAT CONSERVATION AREAS The definition of fish and wildlife behitst conservation gross is consistent	Is the FWHCA definition consistent with RCW 36.70A.030(5)?
The definition of fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas is consistent with RCW 36.70A.030(5) (updated 2012) and WAC 365-190-030 (updated in 2015). The definition of fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas was amended to state that they do not include: "such artificial features or	□ Yes ⊠ No □ N/A

Addressed in current plan or Regulations required to protect critical areas regulations? If yes, note where constructs as irrigation delivery systems, irrigation infrastructure, irrigation Location in Text: canals, or drainage ditches that lie within the boundaries of and are Kenmore's existing code uses maintained by a port district or an irrigation district or company". the term "fish and wildlife habitats of importance" in lieu of "fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas." The code doesn't provide a true definition of the term, but does list what constitutes a habitat of importance. That list represents the WAC definition's intent. This code update includes incorporation of language into the designation of "fish and wildlife habitat of importance" in KMC 18.55.500.A that provides more consistency with the WAC. The language regarding ports and irrigation districts is not necessary, as those entities are not present in Kenmore. Have you reviewed your PROTECTION OF FISH AND WILDLIFE HABITAT AND regulations regarding any **CONSERVATION AREAS** applicable changes in Policies and regulations protect the functions and values of fish and management recommendations wildlife habitat conservation areas. RCW 36.70A.172(1) and RCW for priority habitats and 36.70A.030(5) (updated 2012). species? WAC 365-190-130(4) encourages to local jurisdictions consult WDFW's \boxtimes Yes Priority Habitat and Species web site. Recent updates include: No Priority Habitat and Species maps (updated daily) N/A Priority Habitats and Species List (updated June 2016) Location in Text Mazama Pocket Gopher (2011, 2016) As part of this update, revisions Great Blue Heron (2012) to code have been made based Western Gray Squirrel (2010) on updates to heron guidance (KMC 18.55.530.A, KMC Water Crossing Design Guidelines (2013) 18.55.090.D.4). The delisting of Stream Habitat Restoration Guidelines (2012) bald eagle is also recognized via Shrub-Steppe (2011) other changes in this code Land Use Planning for Salmon, Steelhead and Trout (2011) section (KMC 18.55.510.A.3, Landscape Planning for Washington's Wildlife (2009) KMC 18.55.090.D.3). Pileated Aquatic Habitat Guidelines (2010, 2010, 2014) woodpecker habitats and Riparian Management recommendations (expected September 2017) biodiversity areas/corridors mapped by WDFW have also Areas "with a primary association with listed species" should be been explicitly added to the list considered per WAC 365-190-130(2)(a). Recent uplistings and delistings (see KMC 18.55.500). are:

Addressed in current plan or Regulations required to protect critical areas regulations? If yes, note where Uplisting of marbled murrelet to State Endangered – February 4, 2017 References to stream crossing Uplisting of Canada lynx to State Endangered - February 4, 2017 guidelines have been Peregrine falcon delisted from State Sensitive – February 4, 2017 incorporated into KMC a. The peregrine will remain classified as "protected wildlife" 18.55.420.C.1. under state law (WAC 232-12-011) and will continue to be protected under the federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act. Have you reviewed your Bald Eagle delisted from State Sensitive - February 4, 2017 regulations regarding any a. 2011: Downlisted from State Threatened to Sensitive (this changes in species listings? ended the requirement to develop Bald Eagle Protection Plans per WAC 232-12-292—a change which many CAOs still Yes don't reflect). No b. 2007: Delisted from federal Threatened (but still covered by N/A the federal Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act) Location in Text See response to previous Also see the Puget Sound Partnership's Salmon Recovery web site for question. WRIA Plans in Puget Sound. Do your regulations give special **ANADROMOUS FISHERIES** consideration for anadromous Policies and regulations for protecting critical areas give special consideration fisheries? to conservation or protection measures necessary to preserve or enhance \boxtimes Yes anadromous fisheries. RCW 36.70A.172(1) is the requirement and WAC 365-195-925 (updated in 2000) lists criteria involved. This requirement applies to all No five types of critical areas. N/A Location in Text: WAC 365-190-130(4)(i) recommends sources and methods for protecting fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, including salmonid habitat. Counties Numerous regulations in KMC and cities may use information prepared by the United States Department of 18.55.400 to -.530 and the Interior Fish and Wildlife Service, National Marine Fisheries Service, the 18.55.760 directly or indirectly Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife, the State Recreation and give special consideration to Conservation Office, and the Puget Sound Partnership to designate, protect anadromous fish and their and restore salmonid habitat. Counties and cities should consider habitat. The update provides recommendations found in the regional and watershed specific salmon additional certainty by recovery plans (see the Governor's Salmon Recovery Office webpage and the referencing agency guidance Puget Sound Partnership's Salmon Recovery webpage). documents for design of crossings and other stream Land Use Planning for Salmon, Steelhead and Trout: A land use modifications. planner's guide to salmonid habitat protection and recovery (October 2009) is an excellent resource. The Washington State Recreation and Conservation Office (RCO) website includes information on salmon recovery efforts. REASONABLE USE EXCEPTIONS Do you have reasonable use The Critical Areas Ordinance (CAO) allows for "reasonable use" if the CAO provisions? would otherwise deny all reasonable use of property. Reasonable use \boxtimes Yes provisions should limit intrusions into critical areas to the greatest extent No possible. RCW 36.70A.370 (1991). Location in Text: Common exemptions include emergencies, remodels that do not further extend into critical areas, surveying, walking, and development that has KMC 18.55.180 already been completed with critical areas review under a previous

	Regulations required to protect critical areas	Addressed in current plan or regulations? If yes, note where
AGRICULT	FURAL ACTIVITIES NOT UNDER VSP (COUNTIES ONLY)	Did you review your
counties or Program (VS 36.70A.130	us regulations as they specifically apply to agricultural activities in watersheds <u>not</u> participating in the Voluntary Stewardship SP) have been reviewed, and if needed, revised pursuant to RCW <u>RCW 36.70A.710(6)</u> "Agricultural activities" means all agricultural actices as defined in <u>RCW 90.58.065</u> .	regulations as they apply to agricultural activities? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☑ N/A
FOREST P	PRACTICES APPLICATION REGULATIONS	Have you adopted forest
	e, regulations for forest practices have been adopted: RCW (adopted in 2007).	practices regulations? — Yes
population,	240 , amended in 2011, requires many counties over 100,000 in and the cities and towns within those counties to adopt for forest practices. These are often included in clearing and inances.	□ No☑ N/ALocation in Text:
Regulations drainage, flo including Pu	ATER DRAINAGE AND WATER QUALITY protect water quality and implement actions to mitigate or cleanse coding, and storm water run-off that pollute waters of the state, liget Sound or waters entering Puget Sound. RCW 36.70A.070(1)	Do you have regulations that protect water quality?
•	may include :	Location in Text
	Adoption of a stormwater manual consistent with Ecology's latest manuals for Eastern or Western Washington. Adoption of a clearing and grading ordinance – See Municipal Research and Services Center's Erosion and Sediment Control: Land Clearing and Grading webpage.	Existing KMC 13.35 (Surface Water Runoff Policy), 13.45 (Water Quality), and 15.25 (Land Alterations)
	 Adoption of a low impact development (LID) ordinance. Available LID resources include: Ecology's Stormwater Manual for Western Washington (updated in 2012) includes low impact development (LID) related definitions, requirements, and an LID performance standard. See Stormwater Manuals Management and Design Manuals on Ecology's web page. Puget LID into local codes, July 2012. Ecology's Stormwater Manual webpage has a number of manuals for stormwater management and design, including low impact development. Washington Stormwater Center webpage:	If required, have you incorporated low impact development standards into your regulations? ☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A Location in text: Ordinance 16-0428 updated several chapters of Kenmore Municipal Code and adopted the 2016 King County Surface Water Design Manual in KMC 13.35.030 to integrate low impact development principles and standards into the City's existing development processes.

Addressed in current plan or Regulations required to protect critical areas regulations? If yes, note where Do your regulations protect REGULATIONS FOR PROTECTING WATERS OF THE STATE waters of the state? RCW 90.48.020 defines waters of the state. WAC 365-190-130(2) (updated Yes in 2010) – recommends considering designation of all waters of the state, П No including naturally occurring ponds under 20 acres and their submerged N/A aguatic beds that provide fish or wildlife habitat. Location in Text Stream types are classified in WAC 222-16-030 (updated in 2006); with field verification, or an alternate system that considers factors listed in WAC 365-All streams are currently 190-130(4)(f)(iii) (updated 2010). See http://www.dnr.wa.gov/forestprotected in KMC 18.55.400practices-water-typing to use Washington State Department of Natural .430 and all wetlands are Resources (DNR)'s stream typing system. protected in KMC 18.55.300-.330 consistent with Ecology Protect waters of the state by protecting riparian areas by establishing guidance. The Shoreline lake is buffers to maintain no net loss of riparian ecosystem functions. protected by the SMP in Title Designating areas that risk contaminating or harming shoreline resources 16, Division I. including tidelands and bedland suitable for shellfish harvest, kelp and Smaller lakes and ponds were eelgrass beds, forage fish spawning areas. not protected under existing regulations, except when they were fringed by protected wetlands or met some other criteria for a fish and wildlife habitat of importance. The revisions to the Streams sections (which now explicitly include "lakes" in the title and throughout the sections) have expanded to cover other waters, and will help fill that gap. **GOOD IDEAS** Are you using non-Non-regulatory measures to protect or enhance functions and values of regulatory measures to critical areas may be used to complement regulatory methods. These may protect critical areas? include: |X|Yes public education No stewardship programs Location in Text: pursuing grant opportunities Non-regulatory measures are water conservation not referenced in the City's • joint planning with other jurisdictions and non-profit organizations code. stream and wetland restoration activities • transfer of development rights Do your regulations address no net loss and require No net loss of critical area functions and values is a recommended approach compensatory mitigation? for development regulations in WAC 365-196-830(4). If development Yes regulations allow harm to critical areas, they should require compensatory No mitigation of the harm. Location in Text: Monitoring and adaptive management is encouraged in WAC 365-195-905(6) KMC 18.55.100, 18.55.200, 18.55.210, and others. to improve implementation of your regulations. Commerce will have a

Regulations required to protect critical areas	Addressed in current plan or regulations? If yes, note where
Monitoring chapter in the update to the Critical Areas Assistance Handbook. A draft for public review was made available in June 2017. Go to the project web page at Commerce Update to Critical Areas Guidance to view the draft chapter.	Do you have a monitoring and adaptive management program for your CAO? Yes
	No Location in Text: ■ Comparison Text: ■ Text: Text: ■ Text: